

2015 AWWA INTERMOUNTAIN SECTION ANNUAL CONFERENCE


COMPLIANCE STRATEGIES FOR HIGH LEVELS OF ARSENIC, NITRATES AND OTHER CONSTITUENTS IN GROUNDWATER

Benjamin D. Miner, MPA, PE

September 17, 2015

INTRODUCTION

- ***Wells and Springs are popular sources of high quality water.***
 - ***Municipal Supplies***
 - ***Agriculture***
 - ***Industry***
 - ***Residences***
- ***Lately we have seen an increase in water quality problems with our Clients groundwater sources.***
- ***Today, let's explore the causes and possible remedies.***



PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- **Introduction**
- **Water Quality Goals**
- **Data Collection**
- **Causes of Poor Water Quality**
- **Regulation**
- **Technical and Regulatory Solutions**
- **Case Studies**
- **Recommendations**
- **Questions**

GOALS

- *Regulatory Compliance*
- *Public Acceptance*
- *Low Cost Solutions*
- *Minimize Maintenance*



WATER QUALITY – CAUSES OF CONCERN

- ***Changes in Regulation***
- ***Natural Changes Over Time***
 - ***Drought***
 - ***Decay/Dissolution***
 - ***Aquifer Changes***
- ***Operational Change***
 - ***Pumping Rates***
 - ***Pumping Levels***
- ***Discharges***
 - ***Industrial Facilities***
 - ***Agricultural***

DATA COLLECTION

- *Where?*
- *What?*
- *When?*

“How good is your data?”

REGULATION

- **CONSTITUENTS:**
 - Antimony, **Arsenic**, Asbestos, Barium, Beryllium, Cadmium, Chromium, Cyanide, Fluoride, Mercury, Nickel, Selenium, Sulfate, Thallium
- **CONCERN**
 - **Long Term Exposure / Chronic Effects**
- **STANDARD**
 - *Running Annual Average Below MCL*

TECHNICAL AND REGULATORY SOLUTIONS

- *Sampling Location*



- *Sample Averaging*



- *Source Zonal Isolation*



- *Blending*



- *Treatment*



- *Abandonment*



REGULATION


- **CONSTITUENTS:**
 - Nitrate and Nitrite
- **CONCERN**
 - Immediate Exposure / Acute Effects
- **STANDARD**
 - *Every Sample Below MCL*

CASE STUDIES

- EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 1**


WELL A

**Arsenic = 4 ppb (MCL = 10 ppb)
Meets Demand, Except a Few
Weeks in Summer**



WELL B

**Arsenic = 15 to 22
ppb
Violation**



CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 1**

WELL A

Arsenic = 4 ppb
Meets Demand, Except a Few
Weeks in Summer

WELL B

Arsenic = 15 to 22 ppb
Violation?

- ***Solutions Considered:***
 - ***Treatment Plant – Too Expensive***
 - ***Well Modification – Expensive & Risky at This Location***
 - ***Connection with Nearby Water System – Too Expensive***
 - ***Abandonment – Too Expensive / Lost Investment***
 - ***Blending/Change in Sampling Plan – Winner!***


CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 1**

WELL B

Arsenic = 15 to 22 ppb
Violation?

ZONAL MODIFICATION?



CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 1**

WELL A

Arsenic = 4 ppb
Meets Demand, Except a Few
Weeks in Summer

WELL B

Arsenic = 15 to 22
ppb
Violation

- ***Solution:***
 - ***Change Sample Point from Well Head to Distribution System***
 - ***Blending and More Representative Sampling***
 - ***Limit Well B Supply to Summer Peak Weeks***
 - ***Increased Sampling Schedule to Monthly***
 - ***Increased Number of Sampling Locations***
 - ***Increased Tracking***

CASE STUDIES

- ***EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 1***
- ***Solution***



CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 1**
- **Results**

TABLE 1
SS278 ARSENIC SAMPLING RESULTS AND SUMMARY

| Quarter | Month | Arsenic Level (ppb) | Quarterly Average (ppb) |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 st Quarter 2015 | January | 4.0 | 4.3 |
| | February | 4.3 | |
| | March | 4.6 | |
| 2 nd Quarter 2015 | April | 4.2 | 4.1 |
| | May | 4.2 | |
| | June | 3.9 | |
| 3 rd Quarter 2014 | July | 8.8 | 7.3 |
| | August | 8.1 | |
| | September | 5.1 | |
| 4 th Quarter 2014 | October | 4.5 | 5.2 |
| | November | 6.1 | |
| | December | 5.1 | |
| Annual Average | | | 5.2 |

CASE STUDIES

- ***EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 1***
- ***Results***
 - ***Adequate Water Supply***
 - ***Arsenic < 6 ppb (Average Annual)***
 - ***Considerable Cost Savings***

CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 2**

- **Sources**

- **7 Springs: Arsenic 2 ppb – 16 ppb (MCL = 10 ppb)**
 - **Annual and Seasonal Variations**
 - **Springs Feed Common Tank: Arsenic = 8 to 12 ppb**
 - **Tank Supply to Distribution**
 - **Exceeds MCL - Violation!**

CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 2**

DISTRIBUTION

TANK: As = 8 – 12 ppb

**NEIGHBORING
WATER COMPANY**
As = 1 – 4 ppb

SPRINGS:
As = 2 – 16 ppb

CASE STUDIES


- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 2**

- ***Solutions Considered:***

- ***Treatment – Too Expensive***
- ***Abandon Springs – Water Too Valuable/WQ Variable***
- ***Change Sampling Plan – Arsenic Consistently High***
- ***Connect with Neighboring Water System and Blend – Winner!***

CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 2**



CASE STUDIES


- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 2**

- **Solution**

- **Blending Station – Mix Springs and Neighboring Water System**

- **PLC Controls Blending Flowrates Via Control Valves Based on Meters**

- **Increased Monitoring**



CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 2**

- ***Results***

- ***Arsenic < 5 ppb***
 - ***Additional Water and Backup Water Supply***


CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**

- **Sources**

- **Well No. A – Nitrate = 10.1 ppm**
 - **Exceeds MCL (10 ppm)**
 - **Violation / Acute Health Concern**

- **Well No. B – Nitrate = 0.6 ppm**



CASE STUDIES


- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**
 - **Solutions Considered:**
 - *Treatment – Too Expensive*
 - *Sampling Location Changes – Not Enough, Nitrate Levels are Consistently High*
 - *Sample Averaging – Not Applicable / Acute Risk*
 - *Abandon – Too Expensive / Loss of Investment*
 - *Blending – Possible*

CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**
 - **Solution:**
 - *Modify Existing Booster Pump Station for Blending*
 - *Construct an Isolated Pipeline from Each Well to Pump Station*
 - *PLC, SCADA, VFD and Flowmeters used to assure blending ratio.*
 - *Update Sampling Plan for Blended Water*
 - *Multiple Sampling Points*
 - *Increased Sampling Frequency*


CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**
 - *Before*



CASE STUDIES


- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**
 - *After*



CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**
 - *After*

Mixing Chamber



CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**

- **Results**

Well

| PARAMETER | RESULT | UNITS | RL* | STD Methods (18 th Ed) | DATE/TIME ANALYZED | ANALYZER INITIALS |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Nitrate + Nitrite | 10.3 | mg/L | 0.005 | 4500-NO3 E | 08/18, 12:15 | RW |

**Mixing
Chamber**

| PARAMETER | RESULT | UNITS | RL* | STD Methods (18 th Ed) | DATE/TIME ANALYZED | ANALYZER INITIALS |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Nitrate + Nitrite | 5.09 | mg/L | 0.005 | 4500-NO3 E | 08/18, 12:15 | RW |

**Booster
Pump**

| PARAMETER | RESULT | UNITS | RL* | STD Methods (18 th Ed) | DATE/TIME ANALYZED | ANALYZER INITIALS |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Nitrate + Nitrite | 0.800 | mg/L | 0.005 | 4500-NO3 E | 08/18, 12:15 | RW |

Distribution


| PARAMETER | RESULT | UNITS | RL* | STD Methods (18 th Ed) | DATE/TIME ANALYZED | ANALYZER INITIALS |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Nitrate + Nitrite | 4.24 | mg/L | 0.005 | 4500-NO3 E | 08/18, 12:15 | RW |

CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 3**
 - ***Results***
 - ***Acceptable Levels of Nitrate / Compliance***
 - ***Continued Utilization of High Nitrate Well***

CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 4**
 - **Sources**
 - **Three Mountain Springs**
 - **Arsenic = 12 ppb (MCL = 10 ppb)**



CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 4**
 - **Solutions Considered:**
 - ***Sampling Location Changes – Arsenic Levels are Consistent***
 - ***Sample Averaging – Arsenic Levels are Consistent***
 - ***Abandon – Too Expensive / Loss of Investment***
 - ***Blending – No Blending Source***
 - ***Treatment – Expensive but Feasible***

CASE STUDIES

• **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 4**

• **Solution**

• **Treatment Plant**


• **Pressure Filter Plant**

• **Ferric Chloride and Chlorine Pre-Treatment**

• **Manganese Green Sand Arsenic Filter**

• **New Tank**

• **New Transmission Line**




CASE STUDIES

- **EXAMPLE WATER SYSTEM NO. 4**

- **Results**

- ***Arsenic < 5 ppb***
- ***Continued use of source***



RECOMMENDATIONS

- *Study, Study, Study*
- *Spend Study Money Up Front, Save on Construction Costs*
- *Look at All the Options*
- *Consider Simple Low-Cost Options Before Deciding on Treatment*

QUESTIONS ?